

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has rejected claims 25 and 26 under 35 USC 112 as describing subject matter not described in the specification, particularly regarding the recitation of a mirror housing with regards to a "build-up" element. Applicants submits that on page 2 of the specification at lines 10 – 16 it is described that the mirror housing comprises one single build-up element with a reinforcing element on increasing rigidity. Further at lines 25 – 30 the housing build-up element is described as made of plastic with a metal insert molded reinforcement. Accordingly, it is submitted that the claims are supported by the specification.


Claims 20 through 26 have been rejected as obvious over the teachings of Huizenga et al '999. It is noted that in Huizenga et al the space in the one-piece housing 19 is described as having ends projections 78, 80, 82 in FIG. 3 connected to the motor.

The present base article claim 25 and method claim 25 have been amended herein to recite that the one-piece housing includes a hollow which has received therein an electronics unit for controlling the energization.

There is no teaching in the reference to Huizenga of an electronics unit and thus the claims are neither anticipated by nor rendered obvious over the teachings of Huizenga et al '999.

It is requested that the Examiner's rejection be withdrawn and that the amended claims made the subject of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


Roger A. Johnston
Registration No.: 25,880
Attorney for Applicants

Eaton Corporation
1111 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2584

(216) 523-4132